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Key Points

1. The aim of wound bed preparation is to create an optimal wound-healing environment by producing a well vascularised, stable wound bed with little or no exudate.

2. Bacterial load has a direct impact on wound healing. The management of the bacterial load by either local or systemic therapy is important in wound management.

3. Necrosis is related to bacterial load and can be managed by debridement. A number of alternative methods of debridement are available.

4. Debridement is often a gradual process; the choice of methods will depend on the wound status, the time frame for debridement and the available skills and resources.

5. Chronic wound exudate can slow or prevent wound healing and can reflect changes in bacterial load. The management of exudate ranges from absorptive products through negative pressure devices to compression.
6. In chronic wounds cellular dysfunction and senescence delay healing. These dysfunctions often extend beyond the wound bed and may reflect the underlying disease process.

7. The complex nature of these biochemical abnormalities indicates the need for greater understanding of the mechanism underlying non-healing in chronic wounds.

**Abstract**

This paper explores the concept of wound bed preparation and relates this to five key areas of care: bacterial balance, necrosis, exudate, cellular dysfunction and biochemical balance. Wound bed preparation is the inter-relationship of these elements to a global framework of care. In this article, the practical aspects of using this framework are discussed.

**What is wound bed preparation?**

The formation of a healthy wound bed is a prerequisite to the use of many of todays advanced wound care products. Unless this is achieved, even the most sophisticated and expensive materials are unlikely to function correctly [1]. The concept of wound bed preparation (WBP) as a treatment aim, represents a new direction in wound care thinking. The processes involved are, however, not new and have been part of good wound care practice for some time. What has changed is our realisation that wound management must focus on both the wound and the patient as a whole, and have a defined aim. This will frequently require a multidisciplinary and structured approach to care. These changes are underpinned by our ever-increasing knowledge of the biological microenvironment within a chronic wound and centre on the inter-relationship of functionally abnormal cells, bacterial balance, inappropriate biochemical messengers and dysfunctional wound matrix components. The patient’s physical and psychological status, as well as the wound itself, influence all these elements and will vary with time. The aim of WBP, put simply, is to create an optimal wound-healing environment by producing a well vascularised, stable wound bed with little or no exudate [1]. A framework for WBP has been presented by Falanga [2].

![Figure 1 - Algorithm for wound bed preparation. Adapted from Falanga 2001](image-url)
This paper focuses on the five main components of WBP:

- Restoration of bacterial balance
- Management of necrosis
- Management of exudate
- Correction of cellular dysfunction
- Restoration of biochemical balance

Clearly, these components are inter-related although the relative importance of each in a particular wound will vary. Many therapeutic actions address several of these components, albeit with variable degrees of effectiveness; for example, iodine dressings may work towards restoring bacterial balance, aid wound debridement and absorb mild to moderate exudate. Wounds are dynamic and although a wound may gradually approach a healed state, it may also regress, for example, as a result of an infection or a change in the status of the patient’s underlying medical condition.

**Bacterial balance**

Assessment of the impact of bacterial load on a wound is often difficult. All chronic wounds harbour bacteria. However, whilst some wounds are contaminated, others are colonised and some are infected. What defines the level of bacterial burden is the presence of replicating microorganisms within a wound, the bacterial load, the virulence of the microorganism and the host reaction[3][4]. This can be expressed as:

\[ \text{Infection} = \frac{\text{dose} \times \text{virulence}}{\text{host resistance}} \]

**Equation 1**

Experimental evidence suggests that a bacterial load of between $10^5$ and $10^6$ organisms per gram in a wound bed, irrespective of the organism, will adversely affect wound healing[3]. For some more virulent organisms, however, a lower level may cause infection. Bacterial colonisation is three dimensional and infection can, and often does, extend into the deep compartment of the wound; surface swabs may not therefore give a true reflection of the bacterial burden and may need to be augmented by tissue culture[5]. Therapy should reflect the clinical status of the wound and not be based on culture results alone. Over time, the bacterial status of the wound will vary and it is important to recognise the changes that indicate wound deterioration and respond to them quickly. These may include increasing exudate, pain, odour, bleeding or tissue fragility [Box 1].

**Box 1: Bacterial balance**

1. Recognise importance of bacterial load
Contamination, Colonisation, Infection

2. Monitor the impact of bacteria on healing
   - Pain, exudate, bleeding, odour, systemic effects

3. Treat the wound appropriately
   - Wound debridement
   - Antimicrobial dressings: containing iodine (e.g. Inadine, Iodosorb/Iodoflex) or silver (e.g. Acticoat, Actisorb Silver, Avance, Flamazine)
   - Increase frequency of dressing changes
   - Systemic antibiotics

4. Address host systemic factors

Of all the factors controlling infection, host resistance is probably the most important determinant of wound infection and will be influenced by a number of local and systemic factors that include:

- Vascular disease
- Oedema
- Diabetes mellitus
- Alcohol abuse
- Poor nutritional status
- Smoking
- Immunosuppression/use of steroid medications

Correction of the bacterial balance may be inhibited by the presence of a biofilm that consists of attached microorganisms within a secreted glycocalyx. The presence of biofilm represents a focus for infection, which is protected from the effects of antimicrobials, including antibiotics. Not all organisms within a biofilm will be the same, which compounds the problems associated with treatment or eradication.

Management of necrosis

The most obvious marker of a chronic wound is the presence of necrotic tissue, which can be both a focus for bacteria and a barrier to healing. Debridement occurs naturally within wounds and studies indicate that if this process is accelerated then healing will be more rapid. Falanga stresses the importance of initial and maintenance debridement. In a chronic wound, the pathological processes causing the wound frequently continue in the underlying tissues and may create a reservoir for the production of further exudate and necrotic tissue. Certainly in some wounds following initial debridement, healing can arrest, further necrosis occur and healing not resume until the ’new’ eschar or slough is removed. Debridement, with the exception of surgical excision of a chronic wound, is rarely completed in one treatment episode. A ’mixed’ wound is usually
created with some areas still harbouring significant necrotic material and bacteria and producing exudate (Figure 3). These changes within a wound necessitate a flexible approach to debridement. The consequences of not debriding a wound have been defined by Baharestani [8] and include:

- increased risk of infection
- imposition of additional metabolic load
- psychological stress
- ongoing inflammation
- compromised restoration of skin function
- abscess formation
- odour
- inability to fully assess the wound depth
- nutritional loss through exudate
- sub-optimal clinical and cosmetic outcome
- delayed healing

**Figure 2a** - Diabetic patient with vasculitic necrotic ulcer on posterior aspect of calf

**Figure 2b** - The same patient after initial debridement using a combination of sharp, autolytic and larval therapies. Note the further area of eschar and necrosis
Once it has been established that the wound will benefit from debridement, it is necessary to:

1. Define an aim
   - This may be to prepare a wound: for homologous skin grafting; to receive a skin substitute (e.g., Apligraf, Dermagraft); to proceed with conventional moist dressings.
   - This may be to maintain a dry eschar or mummified wound or digit.

2. Define a timescale - how rapidly should debridement proceed
   - A balance has to be made between the risk to surrounding viable tissues from rapid debridement techniques, such as surgical or sharp debridement, and the danger of prolonged exposure to necrotic tissue and its associated bacterial load.

3. Define a method
   - The choice for the initial debridement technique is usually determined by the speed of debridement considered appropriate for a particular wound. Secondary or maintenance debridement methods will vary according to the effectiveness of the initial debridement.

Not all wounds will benefit from debridement and in some cases an undisturbed dry eschar (as seen in this mummified toe [Figure 4]) is a better option for the patient. In this case, any attempt at debridement, unless combined with treatment of the underlying condition by limb revascularisation, may simply convert inert dry gangrene to potentially limb or life threatening moist gangrene. Goals of treatment must therefore be based on a realistic assessment on the ‘healability’ of the wound [9].
Debridement methods

A number of inter-related options for wound debridement exist (Box 2). Sharp and surgical debridement rapidly remove necrotic tissue and reduce the bacterial burden, but also carry the greatest risk of damage to viable tissue and require high levels of technical skill [10]. Enzymatic, chemical, mechanical and autolytic debridement are frequently regarded as safer options, although the risk to the patient of ongoing wound complications is greater [11].

Box 2: Available methods for debridement

- Surgical
- Sharp
- Larval
- Enzymatic
- Autolytic
- Mechanical
- Chemical

For many wounds WBP will require the use of more than one debridement technique either within the initial phase of debridement or for maintenance debridement

The choice of an appropriate debridement method will depend on:

1. The wound characteristics
   - infection
   - pain
   - exudate
• involved tissues
• required rate of debridement

2. The patient’s attitude

3. Available skills

4. Available resources

• products
• costs

For most chronic wounds, debridement will be conducted over a number of weeks and require more than one method ([Figure 5a](#) [Figure 5b](#) [Figure 5c](#) [Figure 5d](#) [Figure 5e](#)).

**Figure 5a** - At presentation, necrotic skin over posterior calf following trauma in patient on Warfarin for recent deep vein thrombosis

**Figure 5b** - Wound during initial sharp debridement
**Excision debridement**

Sharp debridement is a conservative method which frequently leaves a thin margin of necrotic tissue within a wound; surgical debridement is more extensive and usually requires anaesthesia. The later aims to convert a chronic wound to an acute wound by complete excision. This, however, does not, correct the pathogenic abnormalities that may extend well beyond the wound’s margins. Although removing part of the bacterial burden and eschar, surgical excision may, in some cases, simply enlarge a chronic wound. For the majority of wounds sharp, rather than surgical debridement, will be sufficient. The recommendations for effective sharp debridement are given in [Box 3](#). Following sharp debridement a secondary debridement technique is usually necessary, such as larval therapy or autolytic debridement.

**Box 3: Recommendations for effective sharp debridement**

- A good knowledge of the relevant anatomy
- Ability to identify viable tissue
- Access to adequate equipment, lighting and assistance
- Ability to explain the procedure and obtain informed consent
- Manage pain and discomfort both before, during and after the procedure
- Be able to deal with complications such as bleeding
- Recognise your skill limitations and those of the technique
- Plan secondary debridement technique, if necessary, after the sharp debridement

**Larval therapy**

The recent re-introduction of larval therapy provides an alternative and rapid method of debridement (for the potential advantages and disadvantages of this method of treatment see Table 1). There are indications that larval therapy may influence the bacterial burden within a wound and should be considered as a method of debridement in a necrotic or infected wound [12], [13], [14]. Larval therapy should also be considered for:

- A wound in which sharp debridement may expose bone, joint or tendon
- A wound in which autolytic debridement has failed or was contra-indicated
- As a secondary debridement method after sharp debridement or prior to skin grafting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Potential advantages and disadvantages of larval therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid but selective debridement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced bacterial burden [12], [13], [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible control of MRSA [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible ‘micromassage’ effect stimulating healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible chemical stimulation to healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No reported toxicity or allergenicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Enzymatic debridement**

This process relies on the addition of proteolytic and other exogenous enzymes to the wound surface. These enzymes break down necrotic tissue and can be effectively combined with moist wound healing. To allow maximum enzymatic function, it requires a good delivery system, a prolonged period of enzyme activity, and the correct wound environment, which includes moisture, appropriate wound pH and temperature. As heavy metals (silver, zinc), which may be introduced from some wound care products, such as antimicrobial dressings (e.g., Actisorb Silver, Flamazine) and detergents present in skin cleansing agents inactivate enzymes, care must be taken to use enzymatic debridement agents such as collagenases in the correct care sequence if they are to be maximally effective. Alternative newer, multi-enzyme products, such as those developed from crab and krill [15], are now available as commercial products or are currently under clinical trial. In addition to their debriding action, agents containing bacterial collagenase have been shown to enhance macrophage chemotaxis and activation.
Autolytic debridement

This process relies on enhancing the natural process of selective liquefaction, separation and digestion of necrotic tissue and eschar from healthy tissue that occurs in wounds because of macrophage and endogenous proteolytic activity. This is achieved by providing a moist wound environment and, as such, is likely to be integral to wound care management. The use of occlusive, semi-occlusive or moist interactive dressings can both promote phagocytic activity and the formation of granulation tissue. Autolytic debridement is recognised to be effective in the maintenance phase of debridement.

Mechanical debridement

This is the use of non-discriminatory physical force to remove necrotic tissue and debris from the wound surface. In its simplest form, mechanical debridement involves the use of wet-to-dry dressings which unselectively remove tissue, both healthy and necrotic, at dressing changes. It is known to be painful, can damage healthy tissue and may lead to wound desiccation. Alternative methods include wound irrigation, ranging from cleansing to pressure irrigation, whirlpool therapy, ultrasonic therapy and laser therapy. These methods are used infrequently in the UK.

Exudate management

Chronic wound fluid differs from that of acute wounds and excess exudate has an adverse effect on wound healing. Even in the presence of clean healthy-looking granulation tissue, technologically advanced healing enhancements such as growth factors and bioengineered skin will perform badly if exudate is not controlled. Exudate from chronic wounds has been shown to slow down or block the proliferation of key cells in the wound healing process such as keratinocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells. This action interferes with growth factor availability and inactivates essential matrix material. Exudate results in a loss of protein to the host, can damage the surrounding healthy skin, and is also an excellent culture medium for bacterial growth. The presence of oedema often increases the volume of exudate produced by chronic wounds.

Work by Duby has shown that oedema control is:

- an important element in the management of lower limb venous ulceration
- linked to ulcer healing rates.

These findings can be extrapolated to include the management of ulceration in the presence of lymphoedema and the healing of traumatic lower limb ulcers such as those associated with pre-tibial lacerations in the oedematous limb. Oedema control in these situations can be obtained with either compression bandaging, hosiery or intermittent pneumatic compression.

Exudate management consists of two related phases of direct and indirect management:

1. Direct
   - The use of absorbent dressings
The use of compression and/or elevation to eliminate fluid from the wound site (Figure 6a, Figure 6b, Figure 6c).

The use of Topical Negative Pressure (TNP) with devices such as the VAC - Vacuum Assisted Closure (Figure 7) [27][28][29].

2. Indirect

- Control of infection or bacterial load
- Control of oedema by systemic therapy such as the treatment of heart failure
- Use of immunosuppression or steroids to control inflammatory exudate from wounds such as pyoderma gangrenousum, vasculitic or rheumatoid ulcers.

When choosing dressings for exudate management it is important to protect the surrounding skin and to maintain a moist but not saturated wound environment.

---

**Figure 6a** - Non-healing pre-tibial traumatic ulcer at presentation. The ulcer was heavily discharging and the leg grossly oedematous.

---

**Figure 6b** - Same ulcer after 18 days of high compression.
Normal wound healing in an acute wound is highly coordinated with rapid choreographed changes in specific cell populations occurring as the wound progresses from injury through repair, and remodelling to healing (Figure 8). This process is impaired in chronic wounds. There is evidence that cells within chronic wounds become senescent (old, unresponsive and unable to divide) and are unresponsive to certain messengers such as cytokines and growth factors, which causes ‘phenotypic dysregulation’. The prolonged inflammatory response seen in a chronic wound delays wound closure. In addition, the extended exposure of the cellular matrix to chronic wound fluid may affect cellular activity in a wound bed and result in cellular senescence. In venous ulcers, for example, there is defective extracellular matrix remodelling, a prolongation of the inflammatory phase, a failure of re-epithelialisation and an increase in senescence that may be related to the duration of the ulcer. Failure of wound edge migration, which is believed to be linked to an inhibition of normal programmed cellular death (apoptosis) within fibroblasts and keratinocytes, has been suggested by Falanga to be related to healing times. These and other abnormalities in fibroblasts have been demonstrated by others in a variety of chronic wound types and have been linked to senescence within the wound fibroblast population. This may explain why the use of topical growth factors may not always be effective and indicates that the use of single topical agents without correction of the underlying cellular dysfunction is unlikely to be the answer to the management of chronic wounds.
The superficial appearance of a wound can be misleading. In the same way that all that glitters is not gold, all that is red is not healthy (Figure 9). Biopsy of such wounds frequently shows expression of excessive protein molecules derived from the circulation such as fibrin and fibrinogen. It has been hypothesised by Falanga [36] that these and other macromolecules may bind growth factors and other wound messengers so freezing the wound in a debrided, but non-healing, red state. This emphasises the importance of, and distinction between, debridement, which simply refers to the removal of necrotic tissue from the wound bed, and total wound management as envisaged in the concept of WBP, which aims to address the five key areas defined in Figure 1.

Conclusion

The elements that make up the concept of WBP should not be seen in isolation. WBP is a continuous process that requires skill and an understanding of the patient, the underlying cause of the wound and the wound environment. The method or methods used for WBP will depend upon the patient, the type of wound and the healthcare setting in which treatment is undertaken. Treatment must allow patients access, either directly or through multidisciplinary working, to the most appropriate therapy for their wound if best use is to be made of technological innovations in wound care. Effective WBP is one element in that care package. The prolonged inflammation and cellular senescence seen in chronic wounds may also adversely affect the efficacy of new, high technology products such as hyaluronic acid, growth factors, protease modulators and bioengineered skin products. It is suggested [40] that appropriate WBP is necessary to improve the clinical effectiveness of these new, and frequently costly, technologies.
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